

# SENDING MANUSCRIPTS



## GINECOLOGIA.RO MAGAZINE

### Submission of manuscripts

All manuscripts will be submitted online and submitted to the peer review process.

Accessing the menu on the left of the page, click "Register & Log In", where you will have to enter some personal data. After registration, you will automatically receive an email with your username and password to log in.

Reviewers are asked to complete the peer review form below as soon as possible and then submit it to the Editor.

### PEER REVIEW FORM

<b>JOURNAL:</b>	
<b>TITLE:</b>	
<b>AUTHORS:</b>	
<b>REFERENCE NUMBER:</b>	

### Quick summary of the manuscript:

.....

### Is the manuscript of sufficient originality to warrant publication in the journal?

(Manuscripts that are scientifically flawed, provide no new insight, merely report observations without analysis or comment, are incomplete or are of sufficient significance, should be rejected).

YES/NO

Comments:

.....yes.....yes.....

**Is the manuscript sufficiently novel and interesting to warrant publications?**

**(Does it add to the canon of knowledge? Is the research question an important, valid, significant one?)**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Title**

**(Does it clearly describe the manuscript?)**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Does the Abstract reflect the content of the manuscript?**

**YES/NO**

**Are the originality and importance of the manuscript stated? YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Introduction**

**(Does it accurately describe what the author hoped to achieve/how original is it, and clearly state the problem being investigated and its importance?)**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Are the design and methodology appropriate?**

**(Does the author accurately explain inclusion, and exclusion criteria, recruitment methods, intervention (if an interventional study) and how the data was collected? Is the design suitable for answering the question posed? Was an adequate follow-up interval planned, if appropriate (e.g. for onco- or urogynecology)? Is there sufficient information present for you to replicate the research? Does the manuscript identify the procedures followed? Are these ordered in a meaningful way? If the methods are new, are they explained in detail? Was the sampling appropriate? Have the equipment and materials been adequately described? Does the manuscript make it clear what type of data was recorded; has the author been precise in describing measurements? A power analysis, if appropriate was performed before beginning the research, in order to determine the sample size necessary to demonstrate the working hypothesis? Authors describe which tests were used to evaluate a specific data set and why, dependent variables, independent variables, comparisons, primary and secondary analyses, "p" value accepted as significant?)**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Language**

**(Is the manuscript correctly written? You do not need to correct the English, but you may do so. You may wish to bring it to the attention of the Editor, however).**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Is the manuscript sensibly arranged? Is it clear and concise?**

**(If not, but is otherwise acceptable, please suggest necessary improvements)**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**RECOMMENDATION**

**Accept**

**Accept pending minor revisions**

**Reconsider after major revision**

**Reject**

**I think it is a very clean and good original research paper**

**Reviewer:**

.....

**Date: .....**

**Language**

**(Is the manuscript correctly written? You do not need to correct the English, but you may do so. You may wish to bring it to the attention of the Editor, however).**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**Is the manuscript sensibly arranged? Is it clear and concise?**

**(If not, but is otherwise acceptable, please suggest necessary improvements)**

**YES/NO**

**Comments:.....yes.....**

**RECOMMENDATION**

**Accept**

**Accept pending minor revisions**

**Reconsider after major revision**

**Reject**

**I think it is a very clean and good original research paper**

**Reviewer:**

.....

**Date: .....**

